

HW3 debrief:

Integral Eqns:

§12 (NA)

given interval $[a, b]$, func f on $[a, b]$, func k on $[a, b]^2$

solve $\int_a^b k(t, s) u(s) ds = f(t) \quad \forall t \in [a, b]$

Fredholm 1st kind: right hand side.

or 2nd kind $u(t) + (Ku)(t) = f(t) \quad (Ku)(t) = \int_a^b k(t, s) u(s) ds$

functional eqns $Ku = f$, ie $t \int_a^b k(t, s) u(s) ds = f(t)$ ie $f(t) = \text{inner prod of } k(t, \cdot) \text{ & } u$
visualise like $Ax = b$

• What is $(K^2 u)(t)$? $= \int_a^b \int_a^s k(t, r) (Ku)(r) dr ds = \int_a^b k(t, s) \int_a^s k(s, r) u(r) dr ds$
write out. $= \int_a^b k'(t, r) u(r) dr$

where k' is kernel of K^2 so $k'(t, r) = \int_a^t k(t, s) k(s, r) ds$

[like matrix prod. $(AB)_{ik} = \sum_j a_{ij} b_{jk}$]

• if $k(t, s) = 0$ for $s > t$



called Volterra, not Fredholm,

can be written $\int_a^t k(t, s) u(s) ds = f(t)$ $t=s$ has unique soln, wait concern us.

eg $k=1$: $\int_0^t u(s) ds = f(t) \leftrightarrow u(t) = f(t)$ soln.

Fredholm has stuff on both sides of diag

Eg. $\int_0^t s u(s) ds = \frac{t^2}{3} \quad 0 < t < 1$.

bring out: $t^2 \int_0^t s u(s) ds = \frac{t^2}{3}$ so

any func u sat. $\int_0^t s u(s) ds = \frac{t^2}{3}$ is a soln.

soln. highly nonunique, typ. of 1st kind.

eg. $u(t) = t + (\text{any func. L.t})$
particular soln homog. soln.

 K is rank-1 since for any u , $(Ku)(t) = \text{a multiple of } t^2$.Bounded operators: $\|K\| = \sup_{\|u\|_1=1} \|Ku\|$ for your choice of norm., eg. $\sup(\infty)$, L^2 , etc.
Eg. space = $C([a, b])$ w/ α -norm: $\|K\| = \sup_{\|u\|_\alpha=1} \|Ku\|$

for each $t \in [a, b]$, $|(Ku)(t)| = \left| \int_a^b k(t, s) u(s) ds \right| \leq \int_a^b |k(t, s)| ds$ if $\|u\|_\alpha = 1$ note: $|Ku(s)| \leq \|u\|_\alpha \|K\|$
 $\|u\|_\alpha$ $\|K\|$ again

so $\|K\|_\alpha \leq \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |(Ku)(t)| = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \int_a^b |k(t, s)| ds$ "biggest row-integral of abs val of kernel"

eg. $k \in C^2[a, b]$ has $\|K\|_\alpha < \infty$.Can say more: above \leq is $=$! Why? Pick $t_0 =$ the t which maximizes $\int_a^b |k(t, s)| ds$ (exists).
Continuous func u can approximate sign $k(t_0, s)$ arb. well.

(This is NA Thm 12.5. (explicitly gives example of this)).

$$\text{so } \|K\|_\alpha \geq \int_a^b |k(t_0, s)| ds - \epsilon$$

Kernel may blow up on diagonal, eg $k(t,s) = \frac{1}{|t-s|^\gamma}$

But if $|k(t,s)| \leq \frac{C}{|t-s|^\gamma} \quad \forall s, t, \quad 0 < \gamma < 1$ then L_1 norm of each row bounded,
 $\Rightarrow \|K\|_{L_1} < \infty$ norm bounded
 called 'weakly singular'.
 $\gamma \geq 1$ strongly singular, may be unbounded operator.
 ↳ tears ugly heat PDE apps.

Numerical solution method: Nyström (1930), 2nd kind.

$$u(t) - \underbrace{\int_0^t k(t,s) u(s) ds}_{\text{quad rule w/ nodes } s_1, \dots, s_n \text{ & weights } w_1, \dots, w_n} = f(t) \quad t \in [a,b] \quad \text{ie } (I - K)u = f.$$

approx u by u_n which obeys

$$u_n(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n w_j k(t, s_j) u_n(s_j) = f(t) \quad (*)$$

$$\text{ie } (I - K_n)u_n = f. \quad \hookrightarrow := (K_n u_n)(t) \quad \text{where } K_n \text{ is a rank-}n \text{ approx to } K$$

Then values at nodes $u_i^{(n)} := u_n(s_i)$ set. the lin. sys,

$$\forall i=1, \dots, n, \quad u_i^{(n)} - \sum_{j=1}^n w_j k(s_i, s_j) u_j^{(n)} = f(s_i) \quad (\text{LS})$$

$$\text{ie } (I - A) \underbrace{\vec{u}^{(n)}}_{\text{vec.}} = \vec{f} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{RHS at nodes vec.} \\ \text{non matrix, } A_{ij} = k(s_i, s_j) w_j \end{matrix}$$

sincere

• So you've solved for u at nodes — how get back full func $u_n(s)$? Lagrange interp. poss; better off:

Thm (12.11) If any vector $\{u_i^{(n)}\}_{i=1}^n$ is soln. to (LS), then $u_n(t) = f(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n w_j k(t, s_j) u_j^{(n)}$

solves (*), exactly!

surprising that we have exact interpolation. ↳ call formula (N). for Nyström interpolant.

Pf: $u_n(s_i) = u_i^{(n)}$ $\forall i$, since set $t = s_i$ in (N) \Rightarrow gives (LS)

Use this to sub for $u_j^{(n)}$ in (N) turns it into (*), $\forall t$. Subtle!

• (*) expresses u_n as $f + \text{span}\{\text{column slices of kernel at nodes}\}$

$\hookrightarrow k(\cdot, s_j)$, form interpolation basis.

k:

• (LS) is equiv. of Vandermonde sys. requiring interpolant agrees at nodes; (N) is interpolation formula

• if drop I , can apply to 1st kind, but there is no interpolation formula (N) now; just get $\{u_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1}^n$.

Note: $\|K_n - K\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ not convergent in norm topology (share same L_1 norm topology will be easy to prove stuff).

But do have ptwise convergence ie for each $\phi \in C([a,b])$, $\|(K_n - K)\phi\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (can still prove stuff!).