

### **Central Question of Interest**

Given a prior distribution  $\pi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we assume known its Denoising Oracle:  $\mathsf{DO}_{\pi}(y,t) = \mathbb{E}[X|Y = \mathbb{E}[X|Y]$ y], where  $X \sim \pi$  and Y = X + tZ,  $Z \sim \gamma_d = \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$ .

By Tweedie's formula,  $DO_{\pi}$  is equivalent to score along Ornstein-Ulhenbeck (or Heat) semigroup.

$$dX_t = -X_t dt + \sqrt{2} dW_t, \qquad X_0 \sim \pi$$
  
$$dX_t^{\leftarrow} = (-X_t^{\leftarrow} - 2\nabla \log \pi_t(X_t^{\leftarrow})) dt + \sqrt{2} d\overline{W}_t, \qquad X_T^{\leftarrow} \sim \gamma_d.$$

Given linear observations

 $y = Ax + \sigma w, \quad \sigma > 0, x \sim \pi, w \sim \gamma_{d'},$ 

we aim to solve the **Bayesian inverse problem** by **provably sampling the (possibly multimodal)** posterior distribution

$$\nu(x) \coloneqq p(x|y) \propto \pi(x)p(y|x) \propto \pi(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|Ax - y\|^2\right\}$$

**Notation:** For  $Q \succeq 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the **quadratic tilt** of  $\pi$  is the measure  $\mathsf{T}_{Q,b}\pi \ll \pi$  with  $\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathsf{T}_{Q,b}\pi}{\mathrm{d}\pi}(x) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}x^{\top}Qx + x^{\top}b\right\}.$  So we aim to sample

 $\nu = \mathsf{T}_{Q,b}\pi$ , with  $Q = \sigma^{-2}A^{\top}A$ ,  $b = -\sigma^{-2}A^{\top}y$ .

# Background

- If  $\lambda_{\min}(Q) \gg 1$ ,  $\nu$  becomes strongly log-concave, allowing fast relaxation of Langevin dynamics (Logarithmic Sobolev Inequality and Bakry-Emery criterion).
- If  $\lambda_{\max}(Q) \ll 1$ ,  $\nu \approx \pi$ , so samples from  $\pi$  can be efficiently perturbed into samples from  $\nu$  via importance sampling.
- If A is unitary,  $Q = \sigma^{-2}$ Id, reducing the problem to isotropic Gaussian denoising, seems compatible with the denoising oracle (see next block).

Two key problem parameters: SNR :=  $\lambda_{\min}(Q) = \lambda_{\min}(A)^2/\sigma^2$  and  $\kappa(A) := \lambda_{\max}(A)/\lambda_{\min}(A)$ .

# Denoising as a Motivating Example

When the task is **denoising** 

$$y = x + \sigma w,$$

the observation has a similar structure to the forward process

$$X_s \stackrel{d}{=} e^{-s} X_0 + (1 - e^{-2s})w.$$

By defining

$$T^* = \frac{1}{2}\log(1+\sigma^2), \quad \tilde{y} = e^{-T^*}y,$$

we have

$$(x,\tilde{y}) \stackrel{d}{=} (X_0, X_{T^*}).$$

Therefore, sampling p(x|y) is equivalent to  $p(X_0|X_{T^*})$ , which can be achieved by the following:

Initialize  $X_{T^*}^{\leftarrow} = e^{-T^*}y$ Run the original reverse SDE from  $T^*$  to 0 to get the desired sample

# **Provable Posterior Sampling with Denoising Oracles via Tilted Transport**

Joan Bruna<sup>1</sup> Jiequn Han<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Flatiron Institute <sup>1</sup>NYU

# **General Cases via Tilted Transport**

We consider a one-parameter family of distributions  $\nu_t$  of the form

 $\nu_t(x) \coloneqq \pi_t(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}x^\top Q_t x + x^\top b_t\right\} = \mathsf{T}_{Q_t, b_t} \pi_t ,$ with  $\pi_t$  denoting the density of  $X_t$  in the forward

process and  $Q_t, b_t$  satisfying the first-order ODE:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Q}_t = 2(I+Q_t)Q_t , & Q_0 = Q ,\\ \dot{b}_t = (I+2Q_t)b_t , & b_0 = b . \end{cases}$$
(1)

**Theorem 1 (Tilted Transport)** Assume  $t < T^* := \frac{1}{2}\log(1 + \lambda_{\max}(Q)^{-1})$  such that the ODE (1) is well-defined on [0, t]. By initializing  $X_t^{\leftarrow} \sim \nu_t$  and running reverseSDE from t to 0, we have  $X_s^{\leftarrow} \sim \nu_s$ for  $s \in [0, t]$ ; specifically,  $X_0^{\leftarrow}$  gives a sample from the desired posterior.

Key takeaway: The same reverse SDE allows us to move samples along  $\nu_t$  backward, and  $\nu_t$ becomes easier to sample from as t increases since

$$\nu_t(x) = \underbrace{\pi_t(x)}_{\text{easier prior}} \underbrace{\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}x^{\top}Q_tx + x^{\top}b_t\right\}}_{\text{easier likelihood}}$$

# **Resulting Numerical Algorithm**

Given a baseline sampling algorithm Alg (e.g. Langevin Diffusion) and starting time  $\tilde{T} = T^* - \epsilon$  (for stable ODE solutions), the tilted transport works in two steps:

Use the baseline sampling algorithm Alg to sample  $X_{\tilde{T}}^{\leftarrow}$  from  $\pi_{\tilde{T}}(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}x^{\top}Q_{\tilde{T}}x + x^{\top}b_{\tilde{T}}\right\}$ Run the original reverse SDE from  $\tilde{T}$  to 0 to get the desired sample



**Remark:** A similar two-step approach (marginal sampling + conditional sampling) was recently proposed for posterior sampling in sparse linear regression [Montanari and Wu, 2024].

(forwardSDE) (reverseSDE)







**Definition:** (known as the **susceptibility** in the literature of stochastic localization and Polchinsky renormalisation group)

**Theorem 2 (Strong Log-Concavity of**  $\nu_{T^*}$ **)**  $\nu_{T^*}$  is strongly log-concave if

• Gaussian Mixtures: Let  $\pi = \mu * \gamma_{\delta}$ and diam(supp( $\mu$ ))  $\leq R$ , then  $\nu_{T^*}$  is strongly log-concave if

$$R^2 < \frac{(1+\delta \mathrm{SNR}^2)(\delta \kappa(A)^2 + \mathrm{SNR}^{-2})}{\kappa(A)^2 - 1}$$

computational lower bound in [Kunisky, 2023].





# Future work:

- Flow Matching/Stochastic Interpolant Oracles [Chen et al., Albergo et al.]
- Iterated Tilted Transport
- From Linear to Nonlinear inverse problems

# **Provable Sampling**



• Ising Models: Let  $\pi$  be the uniform measure on the hypercube  $\{\pm 1\}^d$ , and Q such that  $\lambda_{\max}(Q) - \lambda_{\min}(Q) < 1$ . Then  $\nu_{T^*}$  is strongly log-concave, and therefore the Ising model  $\nu = T_O \pi$  can be sampled efficiently (in continuous-time). This bound precisely matches the

# Numerical Results